IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17<sup>th</sup> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 12-34121(07)

Complex Litigation Unit

PHILIP J. VON KAHLE, as Conservator of P&S ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, and S&P ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

JANET A. HOOKER CHARITABLE TRUST, et al,

Defendants.

#### DEFENDANTS JUDDS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL

Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel should be denied for several reasons:

- 1. Plaintiffs know that Defendants Judds' Motion to Stay Proceedings was pending before the Court (Exhibit A attached hereto).
- 2. Plaintiffs' counsel had been told that James Judd would not be available. Furthermore, he is presently out of the country and not scheduled to return to the United States until the week of Thanksgiving. James Judd's Affidavit is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 3. Plaintiffs have repeatedly ignored this Court's rulings and directions in regard to discovery for example, on August 18, 2014, Plaintiffs' Counsel Hyman was instructed to respond to Defendants Judd Third Interrogatories within 15 days (the amount of time that Hyman requested) (Exhibit C hereto.) Plaintiffs did not respond until September 16, 2014 and then simply objected to each one of the interrogatories on the grounds that each of the six interrogatories exceeded the permissible number (Exhibit D hereto.)

4. Even after the Court had overruled Plaintiffs' Counsel Hyman's objection to Defendants Judds' discovery on August 18, 2014, Plaintiffs' counsel repeated the same objection in

their tardy response to Defendants Judds' Third Interrogatories.

5. Moreover, when Defendants had noticed the conservator's deposition for September 29,

2014, Plaintiffs' counsel simply said that he wasn't available.

Wherefore Defendants Judd respectfully pray that Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel be

denied.

Dated this 29<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2014.

s/ Julian H. Kreeger

JULIAN H. KREEGER, P.A. Florida Bar No. 098595

Attorneys for James and Valerie Judd

Offices at Grand Bay Plaza

2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220-14

Miami, Florida 33133

Tel: (305) 373-3101

Fax: (305) 381-8734

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via

Electronic Mail upon Leonard Samuels, Esq. of BergerSingerman and counsel identified below

registered to receive electronic notifications and regular U.S. mail upon Pro Se parties this 29<sup>th</sup>

day of October, 2014 upon the following:

**Counsel E-mail Address:** 

Ana Hesny, Esq. ah@assoulineberlowe.com; ena@assoulineberlowe.com

 $Eric\ N.\ Assouline, Esq.\ ena@assoulineberlowe.com; ah@assoulineberlowe.com$ 

 $Annette\ M.\ Urena,\ Esq.\ aurena@dkdr.com;\ cmackey@dkdr.com;\ service-amu@dkdr.com$ 

Daniel W Matlow, Esq. dmatlow@danmatlow.com; assistant@danmatlow.com

Debra D. Klingsberg, Esq. dklingsberg@huntgross.com

Robert J. Hunt, Esq. bobhunt@huntgross.com

Joanne Wilcomes, Esq. jwilcomes@mccarter.com

Evan Frederick, Esq. efrederick@mccaberabin.com

Etan Mark, Esq. emark@bergersingerman.com; drt@bergersingerman.com; lyun@bergersingerman.com

Evan H Frederick, Esq. efrederick@mccaberabin.com; janet@mccaberabin.com; beth@mccaberabin.com

B. Lieberman, Esq. blieberman@messana-law.com

2

Jonathan Thomas Lieber, Esq. jlieber@dobinlaw.com

Mariaelena Gayo-Guitian, Esq. mguitian@gjb-law.com

Barry P. Gruher, Esq. bgruher@gjb-law.com

William G. Salim, Jr., Esq. wsalim@mmsslaw.com

Domenica Frasca, Esq. dfrasca@mayersohnlaw.com; service@mayersohnlaw.com

Joseph P Klapholz, Esq. jklap@klapholzpa.com; dml@klapholzpa.com

Joseph P. Klapholz, Esq. jklap@klapholzpa.com; dml@klapholzpa.com;

Julian H Kreeger, Esq. juliankreeger@gmail.com

L Andrew S Riccio, Esq. ena@assoulineberlowe.com; ah@assoulineberlowe.com

Leonard K. Samuels, Esq. lsamuels@bergersingerman.com; vleon@bergersingerman.com; drt@bergersingerman.com.

Marc S Dobin, Esq. service@dobinlaw.com; mdobin@dobinlaw.com;

Michael C Foster, Esq. mfoster@dkdr.com; cmackey@dkdr.com; kdominguez@dkdr.com

Michael Casey, Esq. mcasey666@gmail.com

Richard T. Woulfe, Esq. pleadings.RTW@bunnellwoulfe.com

Michael R. Casey, Esq. mcasey666@gmail.com

Brett Lieberman, Esq. blieberman@messana-law.com

Marc Dobin, Esq. service@dobinlaw.com

Peter Herman, Esq. PGH@trippscott.com

Robert J Hunt, Esq. bobhunt@huntgross.com; sharon@huntgross.com; eservice@huntgross.com

Ryon M Mccabe, Esq. rmccabe@mccaberabin.com; janet@mccaberabin.com; beth@mccaberabin.com

Steven D. Weber, Esq. sweber@bergersingerman.com; lwebster@bergersingerman.com;

drt@bergersingerman.com

Thomas J. Goodwin, Esq. tgoodwin@mccarter.com; nwendt@mccarter.com; jwilcomes@mccarter.com

Thomas L Abrams, Esq. tabrams@tabramslaw.com; fcolumbo@tabramslaw.com

Thomas M. Messana, Esq. tmessana@messana-law.com; tmessana@bellsouth.net;

mwslawfirm@gmail.com

Zachary P Hyman, Esq. zhyman@bergersingerman.com; DRT@bergersingerman.com; clamb@bergersingerman.com.

s/ Julian H. Kreeger

JULIAN H. KREEGER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17th
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR
BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 12-34121(07)

Complex Litigation Unit

PHILIP J. VON KAHLE, as Conservator of P&S ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, and S&P ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

JANET A. HOOKER CHARITABLE TRUST, et al,

Defendants.

### DEFENDANTS JAMES AND VALERIE JUDD'S MOTION TO ENFORCE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Defendants, James and Valerie Judd move to enforce Plaintiffs' settlement agreement in accordance with the agreement described in the email from Plaintiffs' counsel Zachary Hyman, Esq., a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

That agreement called for payment of a sum certain provided that financial statements would be provided that were consistent with the financial representations made regarding the Judds' financial condition. Consistent with these financial representations and pursuant to the agreement referenced by Mr. Hyman, these statements have been provided.

The Court should be apprised that Stephen Weber, Esq. subsequently disclaimed the agreement stated by Mr. Hyman and denies the existence of such a tentative agreement.

Wherefore Defendants Judd pray for the court to stay further proceedings against Defendants James and Valerie Judd and to enter an order enforcing the settlement agreement.



#### Respectfully submitted,

s/ Julian H. Kreeger
JULIAN H. KREEGER, P.A.
Florida Bar No. 098595
Attorneys for Defendants James and Valerie Judd
Offices at Grand Bay Plaza
2665 Bayshore Drive, Suite 220-14
Miami, Florida 33133
Telephone: (305) 373-3101

Telephone: (305) 373-3101 Facsimile: (305) 381-873

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via Electronic Mail upon Leonard Samuels, Esq. of Berger Singerman and counsel identified below registered to receive electronic notifications, and regular U.S. mail upon Pro Se parties on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September, 2014 upon the following:

Counsel	E-mail address:		
Ana Hesny, Esq.	ah@assoulineberlowe.com; ena@assoulineberlowe.com		
Eric N. Assouline, Esq.	ena@assoulineberlowe.com; ah@assoulineberlowe.com		
Annette M. Urena, Esq.	aurena@dkdr.com; cmackey@dkdr.com; service-amu@dkdr.com		
Daniel W Matlow, Esq.	dmatlow@danmatlow.com; assistant@danmatlow.com		
Debra D. Klingsberg, Esq.	dklingsberg@huntgross.com		
Robert J. Hunt, Esq.	bobhunt@huntgross.com		
Joanne Wilcomes, Esq.	jwilcomes@mccarter.com		
Evan Frederick, Esq.	efrederick@mccaberabin.com		
Etan Mark, Esq.	emark@bergersingerman.com; drt@bergersingerman.com;		
	lyun@bergersingerman.com		
Evan H Frederick, Esq.	efrederick@mccaberabin.com; janet@mccaberabin.com;		
	beth@mccaberabin.com		
B. Lieberman, Esq.	blieberman@messana-law.com		
Jonathan Thomas Lieber, Esq.	jlieber@dobinlaw.com		
Mariaelena Gayo-Guitian, Esq.	yo-Guitian, Esq.   mguitian@gjb-law.com		
Barry P. Gruher, Esq.	bgruher@gjb-law.com		
William G. Salim, Jr., Esq.	wsalim@mmsslaw.com		
Domenica Frasca, Esq.	dfrasca@mayersohnlaw.com; service@mayersohnlaw.com		
Joseph P. Klapholz, Esq.	jklap@klapholzpa.com; dml@klapholzpa.com		
Julian H Kreeger, Esq.	juliankreeger@gmail.com		
L Andrew S Riccio, Esq.	ena@assoulineberlowe.com; ah@assoulineberlowe.com		
Leonard K. Samuels, Esq.	lsamuels@bergersingerman.com; vleon@bergersingerman.com;		
	drt@bergersingerman.com		
Marc S Dobin, Esq.	service@dobinlaw.com; mdobin@dobinlaw.com;		
Michael C Foster, Esq.	mfoster@dkdr.com; cmackey@dkdr.com; kdominguez@dkdr.com		
Richard T. Woulfe, Esq.	pleadings.RTW@bunnellwoulfe.com		
Michael R. Casey, Esq.	mcasey666@gmail.com		
Brett Lieberman, Esq.	blieberman@messana-law.com		
Marc Dobin, Esq.	service@dobinlaw.com		
Peter Herman, Esq.	PGH@trippscott.com		

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 12-34121(07)

Complex Litigation Unit

PHILIP J. VON KAHLE, as Conservator of P&S ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, and S&P ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

JANET A. HOOKER CHARITABLE TRUST, et al,

Defendants.

**AFFIDAVIT** 

COUNTY OF MON YOUR

BEFORE ME, the undersigned official, appeared James Judd who, upon being sworn, deposes and says as follows:

- 1. I am named as a Defendant in this lawsuit. I am not in Florida and will not return to Florida until the week of Thanksgiving at the earliest.
- I am in New York until Monday, October 27, 2014, when I am to travel to Tel Aviv, Israel for rehearsal commitments with the Israel Symphony until November 1, 2014.
- 3. On November 1, 2014 I travel from Israel to Shanghai, China for a China tour with the Israel Symphony.
- 4. On November 11, 2014 I travel from Beijing, China to Vienna, Austria for rehearsals and concerts with the Vienna Symphony.
- 5. On November 13, 2014 I travel from Vienna to Istanbul, Turkey.
- 6. On November 15, 2014 I travel from Istanbul back to Vienna.

1

- 7. On November 17, 2014 I travel from Vienna to New York for a rehearsal and concert in New York.
- 8. I will not return to Florida until November 22, 2014 (the week of Thanksgiving).
- 9. I did not sign Exhibit A attached hereto, the single page dated July 14, 2000 of S & P Associates.
- 10. I did not sign either Schwab One Check No. 141 or Northern Trust check No. 2264

attached hereto as Exhibit B.	
11. I was never given a copy of the dated December 21, 1994 which Pla	Amended Partnership Agreement of S&P Associates aintiffs attached to the Third Amended Complaint.
FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH N	AUGHT.
Dated this day of October, 2014.	A Cula.
	James Ju <u>dd</u> AFFIANT
by James Judd, who is personal	owledged before me this Aday of October, 2014, ly known to me or who has produced identification.
	NOTARY PUBLIC
	Name of Notary (Typed, Printed or Stamped)
My commission expires:	ROBERT J. TAYLOR Notary Public - State of New York

No. 01TA6251783 Qualified in New York County My Commission Expires May 14, 2018 The state of the s

#### Exhibit A

# Complete \$1.52 and Eublist A and mell this ness only with check made payable to "M&P Associates Gif. to:

S & P ASSOCIATES, General Partnership ele SULLIVAN & POWELL 4318 N. Rederal Hwy., Buile 210 PL Lauderdain, FL 88308-1404

	I) The most o	FL Lauderdale, FL 38308-1606
	(rigo and deta)	o have executed al.
		Agreement by the sightfurn and
		have executed this Agreement by the signature and date set forth below.
	- Jan 10 1	Date
	0	Date: 7/14/2000
	to the design consequence designation of the state of the	Dote:
	2) Please theck one of th	Date:
	I elect to receive tray dis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I clear to heve my man	erly distribution reinvested in the Partnership.
	> Aware	The state of the s
		EXHIBITA (Title of Your Account)  Soc. Sec. 8 or Federal Tris
.49	Name, Address	EXPINETA (Title of Your Account)
(A)	Telephone # and Fax #	Soc. Sec. # or
	1 (	Federal IDS Cupital Contribution
	hards would a Vale	
	2421 Parcelon De	Jul
		loo K
	te handerdale Fc	
	3	
	33301	
	tel 954 447 2	
		•
	Jan 105: 732N	to the first and the state of t
	234	The state of the s
	Contract to the second of the	
	and the state of t	
,		
₹		

A State of the sta

Exhibit B

JAMES JUDO VALERIE BRUCE J 247 SANCELONA OR FORT LAUDERDALS, FO	Woo	Schwab One	141
Pay to the Sip	Associatos	Suly 12 102000 benerol Proposition	1-1 140
CharlesSchool	thousand	- Participa	100,000
Promoted in	;		Jalian,
- 103 10000 531;	7011125896#	10111	
			i fi

RECEIVED

JUL 2 4 ZULU

BY: 84

SP.Judd09/24/2013000004

JAMES JUDO 2421 BARCELONA DRIVE 854-457-2781 PT. LAUDERBALE, FL 33301

1264 2264

SEP Associates

\$ 80,000

Northern Trust Bank of Florida N.A.

105500765001416002918F 2267

reid 10/17/00

8P.JU0099/24/2013000006

In that case, let me look at the documents not going to say 10. How many days do you need, with 2 that they claim they produced. the understanding is right now the Judds still remain 2 3 THE COURT: Well, I've ordered them to produce a part of the trial scheduled for September 9, 10 and 1 3 either, demonstrate previous production to you or 4 11, which may not be feasible. So you tell me. I 5 produce what they haven't produced, all of which is know you guys are really busy. within 10 days. If it's voluminous records, they may MR. HYMAN: I'd probably say 15 days. 6 say, "You come to our office, and you may review them THE COURT: That's fine. and copy them as you wish." 8 MR. HYMAN: And, Your Honor, if I may, at I'm not going to require them to copy 10 years 9 least as it relates to the Judds, I would request :0 worth of audits and 10 years worth of financial 10 that the Court enter an order prohibiting them from statements. If you want them. You may decide you 11 propounding further discovery on us after this point 11: don't need them all. 12 12 as at this point we spent more money on in dealing in 13 terms of time and energy and dealing with the issues MR. KREEGER: Alright. I don't agree with him 13 14 that I asked for everything that they had, but I'll raised by Mr. Kreeger than almost any other 14 15 determine that when I take a look at it. defendant. And their clients only owe approximately 15 I asked them to admit the genuineness of the 16 \$80,000, as alleged, and at this point it's almost 116 17 activity reports that we got. 17 onerous to have to constantly repeatedly respond to THE COURT: Now, you're looking at the Request 18 18 and address Mr. Kreeger's different tactics and 19 for Admissions. 19 issues. And while we do and would like to work with 20 MR. KREEGER: This is the Second Request. 20 him, it is difficult in the circumstances. THE COURT: The Second Request, okay. 21 2: THE COURT: That request is denied. 22 MR. KREEGER: And here's a copy of it. 22 MR. HYMAN: Okay. 23 THE COURT: I think it's in here. 23 THE COURT: The fact is what we try to do is 24 Yes. Which paragraph? 24 marshal as many claims and issues within one 25 MR. HYMAN: We didn't respond to any of the 25 calendar. And when we have someone who is not 23 1 Second Requests for Admissions because we filed a 1 properly served until well after the case management 2 motion for protective order, Your Honor. 2 order is entered, they cannot be bound strictly to 3 THE COURT: Well, first of all, a Request for 3 the terms of that case management order. And the Admissions is sort of a hybrid. It's really not a fact is it's only five months since he was served; 5 discovery document. In it's truest sense, it's and he's saying, "I've been propounding this intended as a document to narrow the issues, what 6 discovery," and they're entitled to it. So 15 days. facts are we disputing, what facts are we admitting, MR. HYMAN: I understand that, Your Honor. what documents can we agree are genuine so we don't 8 However, we still, although we agreed to resolve the have to worry about trying to lay a foundation or dispute in terms of service without an evidentiary 9 bring in a records custodian? 10 hearing, and I realize Your Honor already ruled on And, again, given the fact that these were 11 it, it's our position that his client was properly only served within a couple of months of Mr. 12 served; and I understand completely that he accepted Kreeger's accepting service for his client, I'm not 13 service officially on March. holding the Judds to the terms of the case management 14 THE COURT: 15 days to respond to the Second order for which they had not been served at the time 15 Request for Admissions. it was entered. I'm not going to do that. 16 What else? So, if your only reason for not responding is . 17 MR. HYMAN: Very well, Your Honor. because you didn't think you have to, you have to. MR. KREEGER: May I just comment? 18 Tell me how much time you need to respond to 19 THE COURT: No. them. 20 What else? MR. KREEGER: There's a parallel interrogatory 2: MR. KREEGER: Then let me see what they do. that relates to the -22 THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT: Could I finish with this first? 23 Anything else. MR. KREEGER: Sure. 24 MR. KREEGER: I would like to comment about, THE COURT: How many days do you need? I'm 25 and this is not part of my motion to compel, I met

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17<sup>th</sup> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 12-34121(07)

Complex Litigation Unit

PHILIP J. VON KAHLE, as Conservator of P&S ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, and S&P ASSOCIATES, GENERAL PARTNERSHIP,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

JANET A. HOOKER CHARITABLE TRUST, et al,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE AND OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT JAMES AND VALERIE JUDD'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFFS

12. Please state what accounting services were performed for S&P Associates each year from 2000 through 2008 by Ahearn Jasco Company and/or Michael J. Kuzy, CPA, including, but not limited to, auditing, preparation of U.S. Partnership Returns of Income Form 1065 and schedule K-l.

Response: Plaintiffs object to Interrogatory Number 12 because it exceeds the number allowed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs further object to Interrogatory Number 12 because they have produced the documents that they have in their possession custody and control that relate to Defendants Judd on multiple occasions and have permitted Defendants Judd to inspect S&P's books and records. Plaintiffs have spent more time addressing the issues pertaining to Defendants Judd than they have in relation to every other defendant in this matter. As such, these interrogatories constitute an attempt to harass the Plaintiffs and engage in vexatious litigation.

- 13. For each year from 2000 through 2008, state:
  - a. How much money was invested by S&P Associates
    - i. with Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC?
    - ii. with other investments?



- b. How much income was received by S&P Associates:
  - i. from Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC?
  - ii. from other investments?
- c. How much money was distributed by S&P to Partners of S&P each quarter?
- d. How much money was re-invested by S&P Partners in S&P Capital?
- e. How much money was distributed to the General Partners of S&P?

Response: Plaintiffs object to Interrogatory Number 13 because it exceeds the number allowed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs further object to Interrogatory Number 13 because they have produced the documents that they have in their possession custody and control that relate to Defendants Judd on multiple occasions. Plaintiffs have spent more time addressing the issues pertaining to Defendants Judd than they have for any other defendant in this matter. As such, these interrogatories constitute an attempt to harass the Plaintiffs and engage in vexatious litigation.

14. When was the first date that Plaintiffs claim that S&P made distributions to certain S&P partners that were not made from S&P profits but from principal contributions of other partners; and state to whom such distributions were made, including the dates and amounts.

Response: Plaintiffs object to Interrogatory Number 14 because it exceeds the number allowed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs further object to Interrogatory Number 14 because they have produced the documents that they have in their possession custody and control that relate to Defendants Judd on multiple occasions. Plaintiffs have spent more time addressing the issues pertaining to Defendants Judd than they have in relation to every defendant in this matter. As such, these interrogatories constitute an attempt to harass the Plaintiffs and engage in vexatious litigation.

- 15. If Plaintiffs claim that S&P Associates perpetrated a "Ponzi" scheme and/or fraud, please state:
  - a. Whether you claim that it was a "Ponzi" scheme or fraud;
  - b. The date you claim that S&P Associates first perpetrated the "Ponzi" scheme or fraud and what facts support such claims;
  - c. The amounts invested each year by S&P Associates with BLMIS;
  - d. The amounts of payments received from BLMIS for each year from 1995 through 2008.
  - e. The amounts of income received each year from BLMIS from 1995 2008;
  - f. The amounts of income received each year from other investments made from 1995-2008.

Response: Plaintiffs object to Interrogatory Number 15 because it exceeds the number allowed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs further object to Interrogatory Number 15 because they have produced the documents that they have in their possession custody and control that relate to Defendants Judd on multiple occasions. Plaintiffs have spent more time addressing the issues pertaining to Defendants Judd than they have in relation to every other defendant in

this matter. As such, these interrogatories constitute an attempt to harass the Plaintiffs and engage in vexatious litigation.

- 16. State the names and addresses of each "net loser" of S&P and for each state:
  - a. The amount and date of their initial capital investment;
  - b. Whether they elected to receive quarterly distributions or chose to have their distributions reinvested as capital;
  - c. The date they received each payment and/or distribution and the amount;
  - d. The dates each were sent an Activity Statement and K-l and the amount shown on each for the net realized gain and total realized balance for each for the ending capital account.

Response: Plaintiffs object to Interrogatory Number 16 because it exceeds the number allowed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs further object to Interrogatory Number 16 because they have produced the documents that they have in their possession custody and control that relate to Defendants Judd on multiple occasions. Plaintiffs have spent more time addressing the issues pertaining to Defendants Judd than they have in relation to every other defendant in this matter. Plaintiffs also object to Interrogatory Number 16 because it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the production of admissible evidence, and is overly broad and unduly burdensome. The benefit of compiling a list of each "net loser," whether they elected to receive quarterly distributions, the dates they received each payment, and the dates they received an activity status report and/or K1 far outweighs any benefit in such a production. As such, these interrogatories constitute an attempt to harass the Plaintiffs and engage in vexatious litigation.

- 17. What actions and claims have been made by Plaintiffs, the status of each, and what recovery has been made:
  - a. Against Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC;
  - b. Against Sullivan and his related entities; and
  - c. Against Powell and his related entities.

Response: Plaintiffs object to Interrogatory Number 17 because it exceeds the number allowed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs also object to Interrogatory Number 17 because it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the production of admissible evidence. Plaintiffs' other avenues of potential recovery does not have any rational relationship to their claims in the instant case. Plaintiffs further object to Interrogatory Number 13 because they have produced the documents that they have in their possession custody and control that relate to Defendants Judd on multiple occasions. Plaintiffs have spent more time addressing the issues pertaining to Defendants Judd than they have in relation to every other defendant in this matter. As such, these interrogatories constitute an attempt to harass the Plaintiffs and engage in vexatious litigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
By: Philip von Kahle
Title: Consenda
Conservator
STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF BROWARD )
BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared
PHILIP VONKAHIE who, being first duly sworn, deposes and states that the answers
to the foregoing interrogatories are true and correct.
SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me, this //o day of SEPTEMBER, 2014, by
PHILIPYON KAHLE, who is personally known to me, or - has produced
as identification.
NADIRA JOSEPH Notary Public - State of Florida My Comm. Expires Dec 11, 2017 Commission # FF 075791 Bonded Through National Notary Assn.  Notary Public, State of Commission No.

My Commission Expires: